VLASOV SIMULATION OF TRAPPING AND INHOMOGENEITY IN RAMAN SCATTERING

David J. Strozzi^{1*}, M. M. Shoucri², A. Bers¹, E. A. Williams³, A. B. Langdon³

¹ Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, MA 02139 USA

² Institut de Recherche de l'Hydro Québec, Varennes, Qc, Canada J3X1S1

> ³ Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Livermore, CA 94550 USA

Work supported by US Dept. of Energy

ICNSP 2005 Nara, Japan Poster P2-78 13 July 2005

*dstrozzi@mit.edu. Poster available at web.mit.edu/dstrozzi/www/

Is Stimulated Raman Scattering (SRS) a concern in ICF ignition plasmas?

- Linear theory: SRS convective, needs long plasmas to grow due to Landau damping. Recent experiments¹ and reduced PIC simulations² contradict this.
- ELVIS: 1-D Vlasov-Maxwell solver for studying SRS.
- SRS from homogeneous plasma: bursty reflectivity,
 >> linear theory, f shows vortices and flattening.
- Electron trapping: enhances SRS by reducing Landau damping; nonlinearly shifts plasma wave frequency

Driven plasma wave:

response to fixed force reveals nonlinear dielectric properties

Inhomogeneity:

wavevector mismatch interacts with nonlinear shift from trapping

¹J. C. Fernández et al. *Phys Plasmas* **7**, 3743 (2000). ²H. X. Vu, D. F. DuBois, B. Bezzerides. *Phys Plasmas* **9**, 1745 (2002).



[from ref. 1]

...maybe!

Raman scatter couples a pump light wave (0) to a Scattered Light Wave (s) and Plasma Wave (e)



 ω and k (energy, momentum) matching

 $\omega_0 = \omega_s + \omega_e \qquad \vec{k}_0 = \vec{k}_s + \vec{k}_e$

Coupled-Mode Equations

$$D_{0}a_{0} = K a_{s}a_{e}$$

$$D_{s}a_{s} = -K a_{0}a_{e}^{*}$$

$$D_{e}a_{e} = -K a_{0}a_{s}^{*}$$

$$D_{i} = \partial_{t} + \vec{v}_{gi} \cdot \nabla + \gamma_{i}$$

$$\vec{v}_{gi} = \text{group vel.}$$

$$\gamma_{i} = \text{damping}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} 0 & (\partial_{tt} - c^2 \nabla^2 + \omega_p^2) \vec{V}_0 = -\omega_p^2 \frac{n_e}{n_0} \vec{V}_s \\ \mathrm{s} & (\partial_{tt} - c^2 \nabla^2 + \omega_p^2) \vec{V}_s = -\omega_p^2 \frac{n_e}{n_0} \vec{V}_0 \\ \mathrm{e} & (\partial_{tt} - 3v_{Te}^2 \nabla^2 + \omega_p^2) n_e = n_0 \nabla^2 \vec{V}_0 \cdot \vec{V}_s \end{array}$$

Instability Thresholds vary strongly w/ γ_{e} (Landau damping)

$$E_i \sim a_i(x,t) \exp i (k_i x - \omega_i t) + c.c.$$

Slowly-varying amplitude Rapid oscillation

Instability threshold: $\gamma_0 > \sqrt{\gamma_s \gamma_e} \rightarrow I_0 > I_{con}$

Absolute instability threshold:

$$\gamma_0 > \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{|v_{gs}v_{ge}|} \left(\frac{\gamma_s}{|v_{gs}|} + \frac{\gamma_e}{|v_{ge}|} \right) \longrightarrow I_0 > I_{abs}.$$

Linear ($a_0 = \text{const.}$) temporal growthrate:

$$\gamma = -\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_s + \gamma_e) + \sqrt{\gamma_0^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\gamma_s - \gamma_e)^2}$$
$$\gamma_0 = \frac{\omega_p}{4\sqrt{\omega_s \omega_e}} k_e v_{os,0}$$
$$= 2.0 \frac{\omega_p}{\sqrt{\omega_s \omega_e}} k_e \lambda_0 \sqrt{I_{0,15}} \quad (1/\text{ps})$$





ICNSP 2005

Strong Landau damping gives mild convective gain



 $\log_{10} \alpha$ (1/m) 5 5 4 5 4.5 3 T_e (keV) 3 4 2 BSRS 3.5 absolutely unstable 0.15 0.05 0.1 0.2 n_0 / n_c

 $I_0 = 2E15 \text{ W/cm}^2$ $\lambda_0 = 351 \text{ nm}$

Strong damping limit : $|\alpha_e a_e| \gg |\partial_x a_e|$

$$\alpha \approx \frac{\alpha_0^2}{\alpha_e}$$
$$\alpha_0 \approx \frac{\gamma_0}{\sqrt{v_{gs}v_{ge}}}$$

Linear Theory Predicts:

In ICF hohlraums, BSRS is a convective instability needing long plasmas to grow due to strong Landau damping

ELVIS: EuLerian Vlasov Integrator with Splines 1-D Vlasov-Maxwell Solver

[D. J. Strozzi, M. M. Shoucri, A. Bers, *Comp Phys Comm* **164**/1-3 (2004)] [A. Ghizzo, P. Bertrand, M. M. Shoucri *et al.*, *J Comp Phys* **90** (1990)]

• Kinetic equation in *x*:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + (E_x + v_y B_z) \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} = \frac{-v_K(x)(f - n \hat{f}_{0K})}{\text{Krook operator}}$$

• Gauss' Law:

$$\partial_x E_x = e \epsilon_0^{-1} (Z_i n_i - n_e)$$

• Transverse flow: cold collisionless fluid

$$m_e \partial_t v_y = -eE_y$$

•Transverse Maxwell Fields: linearly polarized in y

$$E^{\pm} \equiv E_{y} \pm c B_{z} \qquad \left(\partial_{t} \pm c \partial_{x}\right) E^{\pm} = -\epsilon_{0}^{-1} J_{y}$$

p. 6







Numerical Algorithm: Vlasov vs. PIC

Continuum ("Vlasov," Eulerian) method: Treat *f* as phase-space fluid; no discreteness Particle-in-Cell (PIC, Lagrangian) method: Follow macro-particles; discreteness effects

Continuum methods have much lower numerical noise and can study small-amplitude dynamics better; however, they require enormous resources to grid, e.g., 6-D phase space.



Operator Splitting: [C. Z. Cheng, G. Knorr, J Comp Phys 22 (1976)]

1.
$$(\partial_t + v\partial_x)f = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad f^*(x,p) = f(x - v \, dt, p, t_{n+1})$$

2. $(\partial_t + F\partial_p)f = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad f(x,p,t_{n+1}) = f^*(x,p - F \, dt)$

Use cubic spline interpolation for f at foot \rightarrow tri-diagonal system



t (ps)

Homogeneous plasma: trapping happens, f_{e} flattened

t (ps) = 0.825



Seed bandwidth: trapping at first by fast-growing mode





Electron Trapping Reduces Landau Damping



$$\omega_B = \left(\frac{ekE_0}{m_e}\right)^{1/2} = \omega_p \left(\frac{\delta n}{n_0}\right)^{1/2}$$
$$v_{tr} = 2 \left(\frac{eE_0}{m_e k}\right)^{1/2} \approx 2v_p \left(\frac{\delta n}{n_0}\right)^{1/2}$$
$$k_B = -\frac{\omega_B}{v_p} \approx -k \left(\frac{\delta n}{n_0}\right)^{1/2}$$

Periodic elestrostatic run (no light waves) $\delta n/n_0 = 0.005$ $k\lambda_D = 0.273$ $T_e = 1$ keV $n_0 = 5E26$ m⁻³ $\omega_B = 0.0707\omega_p$

Damping reduced once trapped electrons bounce [T. O'Neil, *Phys Fluids* **8**, 1965]



Trapping Also Downshifts Wave Frequency



ICNSP 2005

Plasma Response to External Driver: Theory



Driver:

$$\phi_0 = \tilde{\phi}_0 \exp i(k_0 x - \omega_0 t) + c. c.$$

Steady State:

$$\varepsilon(\omega_0, k_0 - i\partial_x)\tilde{\phi} = \tilde{\phi}_0$$

strong damping limit ($\partial_x = 0$):

$$\tilde{\phi} = \frac{\tilde{\phi}_0}{\varepsilon_l(k_0) + \delta\varepsilon(k_0)}$$
$$\approx \frac{\tilde{\phi}_0 / \partial\varepsilon_l / \partial k_l}{k_0 - k_{lr} - i\sigma_l - \delta k_{nl}}$$

Including advection:

$$\varepsilon(k_{e} - i\partial_{x}) \approx \varepsilon(k_{e}) - i\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial k_{e}}\partial_{x}$$

$$\approx \frac{\partial\varepsilon_{l}}{\partial k_{el}}(-i\partial_{x} + \kappa(x) - i\sigma_{el} - \delta k_{e})$$
advection mismatch damping shift $\kappa = k_{0} - k_{lr}$

D. J. Strozzi

ICNSP 2005

July 2005 p. 13

Plasma Response to External Driver: Simulation

 $k_0 > 0$, $k_0 < 0$: EPW propagates to the right, left



150

100

x / λ_{0e}

200



- Advection gives left/right asymmetry?
- What is the nonlinear k shift, damping rate for a driven wave?
- \bullet Use this model for $\delta\epsilon$ in full SRS problem



0

50

Inhomogeneous plasma: k's vary with x



$\omega_e = \omega_0 - \omega_s$	matching
$k_e(x) = k_0(x) - k_s(x)$	beat mode (not n.m.)
$\kappa(x) \equiv k_e(x) - k_{elr}(x)$	mismatch

Steady state, light undamped: $\partial_t = v_0 = v_s = 0$ $v_{g0}a'_0 = Ka_sa_e$ $v_{g1}a'_1 = -Ka_0a^*_e$ $\varepsilon(k_e - i\partial_x, \omega_e)a_e = 2i\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_{p0}^2}\chi K a_0 a_s^*$ $\varepsilon(k_e - i\partial_x) \approx \varepsilon(k_e) - i \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial k_x} \partial_x$ $\approx \frac{\partial \varepsilon_l}{\partial k_{el}} (-i\partial_x + \kappa(x) - i\sigma_{el} - \delta k_e)$ damping shift advection mismatch **ICNSP 2005** July 2005 p. 15

D. J. Strozzi

Strong damping limit: neglect plasma wave advection

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x a_e| &\ll |(\kappa + i\sigma_{el})a_e| \\ &\to \varepsilon(k_e - i\partial_x) \approx \varepsilon(k_e) \\ a_e &\approx 2i \frac{\omega_e}{\omega_{p0}^2} \frac{\chi_l(k_e)}{\varepsilon_l(k_e)} \ Ka_0 a_s^* \end{aligned}$$

 W_i energy density $N_i = W_i / \omega_i = a_i a_i^*$ action density action flux $Z_0 = \frac{N_0}{N_{0I}}$ $Z_s = -\frac{v_{gs}}{v_{g0}} \frac{N_s}{N_{0L}}$

<u>Linear scattered light solution ($\delta k_e = 0$)</u>

$$Z'_{s} = -\alpha_{i}Z_{0}Z_{s}$$

$$\alpha = 4 \frac{\gamma_{0}^{2}\omega_{e}}{|v_{gs}|\omega_{p0}^{2}} \frac{\chi_{l}(k_{e})}{\varepsilon_{l}(k_{e})} \qquad \alpha_{i} > 0$$

$$Z_{s} = \hat{Z} \Big[(1 + \hat{Z}/Z_{sR}) e^{-\hat{Z}G(x)} - 1 \Big]^{-1}$$

$$\approx Z_{sR} \exp \hat{Z}G(x) \qquad \hat{Z} = 1 - \hat{Z}_{sL}$$

$$G(x) = -\int_{x}^{x_{R}} dx' \alpha_{i}(x')$$

 Z_0 V_{g0} ⁻V_{gs} Z_s \mathbf{X}_{R} X backscatter: $v_{q0} > 0$

Manley-Rowe: $Z_0 - Z_s = const.$

v_{gs}< 0

Nonlinear shift can reduce or enhance Raman



SRS in density gradient: plasma waves advect, large at edge





linear SDL Reflectivity = 1.2E-4





Summary:

- Linear theory gives weak SRS due to high Landau damping.
- Homogeneous plasma: electron trapping reduces Landau damping, giving much larger reflectivity. This is robust against bandwidth.
- Driven plasma waves in inhomogeneous plasma show importance of advection, nonlinear shift, damping reduction; need accurate model.
- Inhomogeneous SRS: mismatch and nonlinear shift may counteract each other. Auto-resonance?

Future work:

• Sideloss out of laser speckle or beam detraps electrons. Restores Landau damping for $\gamma_{SL} > \omega_B$. ELVIS has a Krook operator to study this.

• Are ions relevant? Does the Langmuir Decay Instability of the plasma wave saturate Raman? ELVIS has option for mobile ions.