Exploring Axial and Other Imposed Magnetic Fields to Improve Layered Hohlraum-Driven Implosions

D. J. Strozzi¹, B. Z. Djordjevic¹, C. A. Walsh¹, H. Sio¹, A. Povilus¹, J. D. Moody¹, G. B. Zimmerman¹, C. R. Weber¹, A. Lumbard¹, S. O'Neill², J. Chittenden² ¹Lawrence Livermore National Lab, USA ²Imperial College London, UK Anomalous Absorption Conference 21 June 2023

Supported by LLNL LDRD 23-ERD-025 and ICF program





This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract DE-AC52-07NA27344. Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC

LLNL-CONF-850463

Summary: Magnetized Ignition at LLNL: we continue room-temp. gas capsule shots, cryogenic capability delayed

Main benefits of B field:

- Reduced electron thermal conduction and alpha particle loss perp. to B in hotspot
- \rightarrow Relaxed Lawson criterion for ignition
- May also reduce hydro instabilities / mix

FY23 NIF gas capsule shots:

- High Tion platform: Tion = 4.4 keV with B = 28 T vs. 3.44 keV with no B
- Dual CBI (crystal backlit imager) shots planned
 - Assess role of B field on gas shell mix

Magnetized cryogenic target system delayed two years

- Work to restart summer 2025, shots in 2027
- Only room-temp. magnetized shots until then

J. D. Moody et al, PRL 2022 H. Sio et al, PoP 2023 (submitted)

NIF magnetized gas capsule shots





Summary: modeling of magnetized layered targets has started, mirror field could be better than purely axial

Axial B field: main approach, what we've shot to date

- Subscale BigFoot DT shot N190721 [D. Strozzi] highest yield at 1.3 MJ laser energy, proposed platform for initial shots
- Ignition THD analog shot N220220 [B. Djordjevic] "burn-off" companion to ignition DT shot N210808, low-D fuel

Non-axial B field [C. Walsh]

- Mirror: larger field on poles could reduce heat loss, field lines more conformal to hotspot on equator
- Closed, azimuthal field lines: no free heat flow out of hotspot
 - Very high Te, ions don't equilibrate need a wire through the capsule!
- "Omega" coil [Hohenberger 2012]:
 - Sheared B field \rightarrow closed field lines in implosion due to magnetic reconnection
 - Estimated seed B field in capsule ~ 0.2 T given NIF hardware too small? [A. Povilus]



Burn-avg. T _{ion} [keV]: Increases in all cases with B, b/t 0.6 and 2.1 keV]	System	$B_0 = 0$	$B_0 = 20 - 40 T$	B – no B
	NIF data	High Tion gas capsules – data	3.44	4.4	0.96
	Lasnex sims Gorgon sims	High Tion gas capsules (preshot)	3.5	4.6	1.1
		N190721 – subscale bigfoot DT	5.2	6.5	1.3
		N220220 – THD ignition analog	4.3	5.2	0.6
		N170601 – first > 1e16 yield shot	3.9	5.5	1.6
		N170601 – mirror field (f = 0.4)	3.9	6.0	2.1



MagWarm (Magnetized Room-Temp.) Platform, with or without B: Subscale BigFoot plus constraints





FY23 NIF magnetized gas capsules: higher Tion platform

Platform / comment	Laser energy [kJ]	LEH diam- eter [mm]	capsule fill [mg/cc]
BigFoot subscale	1091	3.45	D ₃ ³ He ₇ @ 6.7
Low Tion FY21-22	883	3.45	D @ 4
High Tion FY23	989	3.13	D@3
Purpose	More x-ray drive	More x-ray drive	More compression





Lasnex modeling captures relative effect of B field on high Tion platform

	Sim
.44	3.5
.4	4.6
.28x	1.31x
	.44 .4 .28x





Upcoming NIF MagWarm shots: Dual CBI (crystal backlit imager) to study magnetized capsule mix





Very early modeling of magnetizing layered DT NIF shot N190721

N190721 = subscale BigFoot¹ layered DT shot

- Part of alpha heating campaign²
- Subscale: E_laser = 1.3 MJ
- Highest yield to date at this E_laser
- Less optics damage, SBS risk
- BigFoot is a fairly robust, reproducible platform
- Walk before you run

Lasnex Hohlraum Template (LHT) ICF common model used

- 2D hohlraum runs: low-res. capsule, no mix
- MHD, multi-species hydro, no inline CBET
- Runs not tuned to match data yet
- Constant laser power multiplier of 0.85
- X-ray deposition in capsule symmetrized
- B field affects electron and alpha transport

B field = raw ingredient for hotter hotspot. Need to fold into full design: tune shocks, shape, capsule thickness, ...

¹ C. A. Thomas et al, PoP 2020; K. L. Baker et al, PRL 2018

² K. L. Baker et al, PRE 2023



Tion: ~ 1 keV increase with B









Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

<u>Very early modeling of magnetizing layered THD NIF shot N220220:</u> similar to the ignition Hybrid-E platform Runs by Blagoje Djordjevic

N220220: Hybrid-E THD shot

- Full scale: E_laser = 1.9 MJ
- Ice layer composition: (H, D, T) = (23.8, 1.7, 74.5)% at.
- Fuel dudded: low D, little alpha heating
- "Burn-off" analog of DT ignition shot N210808
- B field affects electron transport
 - Alphas affected but little self-heating regardless

Lasnex LHT modeling: same as for N190721 except:

- Runs tuned with ANTS [C. Weber] to VISAR shock data from N221106
- Peak laser power multiplier of 0.85 starting at 5.25 ns
- Inline CBET (but capsule x-ray deposition symmetrized)
- Tion ~ 0.6 keV higher with B, yield up too runs not complete
- Benefit saturates for B >= 20 T: electron transport suppressed
- Targets with alpha heating should have larger benefits, saturate at larger B

Tion: ~ 0.6 keV increase with B >= 10 T



Neutron yield coming in higher with B





Non-axial B field: Mirror: motivated by reduced polar heat flow due to conserved magnetic moment

Work by Chris Walsh



Gorgon sims of DT shot N170601

- First NIF shot with yield > 1e16
- No alpha heating in sim

Axial field (f = 0) $B_0(z=2mm) = 30T$ $B_0(z=0mm) = 30T$ At bangtime capsule density Blue = field lines

Mirror field (f = 0.4) $B_0(z=2mm) = 30T$ $B_0(z=0mm) = 6T$



less orthogonal



Mirror Field: Larger Tion and yield increase than axial field – unclear how to compare field strength between them

80

Axial (f=0)

80

Mirror (f=0.2)

Mirror (f=0.4)

60

60

100

100



With mirror field, enhancement due to field isn't yet rolling over as it does with axial field.

Fair comparison of axial to mirror field may be what a given pulsed-power system on NIF can do.

LLNL-PRES-XXXXXX

"Omega coil:" sheared B field \rightarrow closed field lines in implosions due to magnetic reconnection

Can we create closed, azimuthal field lines without running a wire through the capsule?

- "Omega coil" is one proposal from M. Hohenberger et al, PoP 2012
- We are not aware of this ever being shot, just a notion



Magnetic reconnection in a dense HED plasma is an interesting basic science question! Problem is intrinsically 3D: Bz[x] not Bz[r]!



"Omega coil" vs. ring shear coil for NIF pulser



Same driver for both: 3mm strip, coil drive @ 10kA current

- Omega coil is lower inductance and can use a lower capacitance driver. Likely not an issue on NIF.
- Ring shear coil has ~ 4x more B field for same current

vrence Livermore National Laboratory

LNL-PRES-XXXXX

• Initial B < 1 T in capsule – pretty small! Even if closed field lines form, will they be too small?



Conclusions and future work

High Tion MagWarm (room temp.) NIF gas capsules:

- Tion up with and without B
- Dual CBI (crystal backlit imager) shots to measure magnetized capsule mix upcoming

Burn-avg. T_{ion} [keV]: Increases in all cases with B, b/t 0.6 and 2.1 keV



	System	B ₀ = 0	$B_0 = 20 - 40 T$	B – no B
NIF data Lasnex sims [Strozzi, Djordjevic]	High Tion gas capsules – data	3.44	4.4	0.96
	High Tion gas capsules (preshot)	3.5	4.6	1.1
	N190721 – subscale bigfoot DT	5.2	6.5	1.3
	N220220 – THD ignition analog	4.3	5.2	0.6
Gorgon sims [Walsh]	N170601 – first > 1e16 yield shot	3.9	5.5	1.6
	N170601 – mirror field (f = 0.4)	3.9	6.0	2.1 winner!?

Goal: field setups or target designs with largest B field effect, e.g. ignition vs. not.





Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, nor any of their employees makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.