Design of magnetized, gas-filled capsule experiments for NIF

Meeting on Magnetic Fields in Laser Plasmas

Laboratory for Laser Energetics, University of Rochester

D. J. Strozzi, J. M. Koning, J. D. Salmonson, W. A. Farmer, J. D. Moody, L. J. Perkins, D. D. Ho

23 April 2018



LLNL-PRES-XXXXXX

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract DE-AC52-07NA27344. Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC



Magnetic fields in hohlraums and capsules: MHD Hydra simulations

Main effect of B field: reduced e- heat conduction perpendicular to B: $\omega_{ce}\tau_{ei} > 1$ Magnetic pressure << matter pressure: $\beta >> 1$

New in this talk:

- Hohlraum sims of "bigfoot" NIF design
- Imposed axial field, "Biermann battery" fields and Nernst advection

No imposed B field: similar to W. Farmer, 2017¹

- Biermann fields → hotter hohlraum fill
- Nernst advection reduces effect of B field
- Modest effect on implosion
- Small fields in capsule: < 50 T

Imposed axial field: similar to D. Strozzi, 2015^{2,3}

- Frozen-in law holds: B field compressed or rarified with plasma
- *Slightly* hotter hohlraum fill
- Improved inner-beam propagation: hotter, less-dense equator channel
- Capsule fields ~ 2 kT
- Gas-filled capsule yields increase up to 2x

1 W. A. Farmer et al., Phys. Plasmas 20172 D. J. Strozzi et al., J. Plasma Phys. 20153 L. J. Perkins et al., LLNL LDRD final report





"Bigfoot"¹ platform: starting point for warm magnetized design







Why Bigfoot for warm magnetized design?

- Don't re-invent the wheel
- "Nice" features → predictable, easy to tune
 - Low LPI, low convergence
- But not so "nice" to be irrelevant!
 - Enough convergence to amplify B field, reduce e- conduction
 - Connection to existing, high-performance cryo platform





N161204: bigfoot NIF shot

- "Subscale" target: less taxing on laser:
 - 1.1 MJ, 340 TW
- Symcap: gas-filled capsule: D[30%]-He3[70%]
 - 5.5 mg/cc
 - no DT ice layer
- HDC capsule, W dopant
- Au hohlraum
- Low hohlraum gas fill density: 0.3 mg/cc He4

HYDRA MHD model: Single-fluid Braginskii



- Plus analogs in electron energy equation
- Full Braginskii available in HYDRA
- No nonlocal limiting of Nernst: Brodrick, Sherlock

Just Nernst advection (draw B to lower T_e) No Righi-Leduc in energy eq.

This talk:
$$\vec{E} = -\vec{v} \times \vec{B} - \frac{\nabla p_e}{n_e e} + \eta \vec{J} - e^{-1} \vec{\beta} \cdot \nabla T_e$$



→HYDRA Simulations: no imposed field

HYDRA Simulations: imposed axial field





N161204 "post-shot" sims: no imposed B field: Close on bangtime and yield

HYDRA methodology

- R-Z axisymmetric
- "HyPyD": Pythonic framework: J. Koning, J. Salmonson
- DCA non-LTE: Sept. 2017 model: H. Scott
- Electron heat flux limit f = 0.15 (high)
- X-rays on capsule artificially symmetrized

Ohm's law:
$$\vec{E} = -\vec{v} \times \vec{B} - \frac{\nabla p_e}{n_e e} + \eta \vec{J}$$

this slide

Without any hand tuning

- Sims' bangtime slightly early ~ 100 ps
- Sims 10% above measured yield
- Biermann fields have little effect





Hohlraum map legend







N161204: Biermann fields increase T_e, Nernst advection reduces the effect







BIGFOOT

doesn't believe in you either.

Hohlraums, no imposed field: Farmer PoP 2017





Hohlraums, no imposed B: Nernst advection reduces effect of B field





Farmer '17

HYDRA Simulations: no imposed field

→HYDRA Simulations: imposed axial field





N161204: Imposed B_{z0} = 30 T: field "adds" with Biermann in bubble / LEH



- Imposed-field dynamics unchanged by Biermann or Nernst
- Biermann fields unchanged by imposed at least by eye



Why small effect from B_{z0}?

- Hall parameter > 1 in He gas fill with imposed field not a "small field"
- imposed B reduced in Au bubble due to expansion: Frozen-in law
- Axial imposed field \rightarrow B in r-z plane: heat flow only reduced in 1 meaningful direction
- Biermann azimuthal field \rightarrow 2 directions reduced
- Seems we need B inside Au to increase T_e: Biermann does, imposed doesn't



N161204: Imposed B_{z0} = 30 T: capsule B field ~ 2 kT; Biermann fields small



• B increase ~ $(R_initial / R_final)^2 = 81x : 30 T \rightarrow 2400 T$



N161204: Imposed B_{z0} = 30 T: capsule hotter for all MHD models



T_e [keV]: same colormap

Plots at 6.75 ns: 0.25 ns before bangtime x-ray flux on capsule artificially symmetrized





N161204: Imposed B_{z0} = 30 T: bangtimes slightly earlier; yields higher







BIGFOOT

doesn't believe in you either.

Imposed axial field (70 T) <u>slightly</u> raises T_e, improves inner-beam propagation









Imposed B field: 10 T similar effect in hohlraum as 70 T

Strozzi '15 B_{z0} = 10 T







Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory



Magnetized "warm" (293 K) gas-filled capsules: established NIF process for cryo analogs

HDC capsule fill cryo: 5.5 mg/cc D-He3 warm: pure D or D-He3 Magnetized shots from TANDM, can't easily handle T



Hohlraum fill cryo: 0.3 mg/cc He4 warm: C5H12, ~ same e- density He4 → too much pressure on window

J. E. Ralph, D. J. Strozzi, et al., Phys. Plasmas 2016

- Warm analogs of "low-foot" CH implosions
- Backscatter, x-ray drive, implosion shape similar
- Capsule gas: C3D8 light species (H, D, ...) diffuse through CH –could aluminize
- HDC capsules should hold light species



Magnetized gas-filled capsules: up to 2x yield increase with imposed B field





BACKUP BELOW





Hohlraums, no imposed field: MHD slightly [reduces "drive deficit", implosion less oblate

Farmer '17

NIF shot N151122

HDC capsule 0.3 mg/cc hohlraum gas fill



Bangtime: measured – simulated

W. A. Farmer, J. M. Koning, et al., Phys. Plasmas 2017

P_2/P_0 : hotspot emission shape





Room-temperature gas target performance, HDC shell – What's the most important role of the B-field?





